IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

MONDAY, THE 26TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 7TH PHALGUNA 1928

Con.Case(C).No. 7 of 2007()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.28047/2006 Dated 26/10/2006

.....

PETITIONER:

S. SUDHA, D/O. GOPINATHAN, AGED 40,
MATRON GRADE-II, SUB JAIL, KASARGODE,
RESIDING AT QUARTER NO.23,CENTRAL PRISON QUARTERS,
KANNUR.

BY ADV. SRI.P.NARAYANAN

RESPONDENTS:

SRI.M.G.A. RAMAN,
FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
AGED ABOUT 54, THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
(PRISONS), PRISON HEAD QUARTERS,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SHRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 26/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

Cont. Case No. 7 OF 2007

Dated this the 26th February, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Pursuant to Annexure 1 judgment the respondent has passed Annexure R1(a) order on 31.1.2007. The direction issued in the judgment stands complied with accordingly. Counsel for the petitioner submits that even before passing Annexure R1(a) order the respondent had issued communication dated 3.1.2007 which will impliedly show that decision had been taken without hearing the petitioner.

2. If the petitioner feels aggrieved by Annexure R1(a) order she will have to invoke appropriate remedy to redress her grievance. Correctness or validity of Annexure R1(a) order cannot be gone into in this contempt of court proceedings. Contempt of court case is closed without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to challenge Annexure R1(a) order.

K.K.DENESAN
Judge

jj